

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address (*) MMISSE NER FOR PATENT'S PO Bo. 1150 Alexandra, Vugnia 22313-1159 www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09 975,292	10 10 2001	Michael I., Rudd	10010045-1	1281
7	590 08 04 2003			
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			EXAMINER	
			DATSKOVSKIY, MICHAEL V	

ART UNIT 2835

DATE MAILED: 08/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ó	Office Action Summan	Application No. 09/975,292	Applicant(s)
C	Office Action Cumment	00/075 202	
C		09/9/15,292	RUDD ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Michael Datskovsky	2835
<i>۲۰۰ Th</i> Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communication apply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6 - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re - Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a refor reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuceived by the Office later than three months after the mail at term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a replicible. ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 divill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊡ Re	sponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18</u>	3 July 2003 .	
<u> </u>	s action is FINAL . 2b) \(\square\)	his action is non-final.	
	ce this application is in condition for allow		
clo Disposition o	sed in accordance with the practice unde f Claims	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
· <u>_</u>	m(s) <u>8-22</u> is/are pending in the application	on.	
•	of the above claim(s) is/are withdr		
	m(s) <u>8-17</u> is/are allowed.		
·	n(s) <u>18-22</u> is/are rejected.		
	n(s) is/are objected to.		
<u> </u>	m(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	
9) ☐ The :	specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10)⊡ The o	Irawing(s) filed on <u>10 October 2001</u> is/ard	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte	ed to by the Examiner.
Ар	olicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)∐ The ¡	proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)	approved by the Examiner.
If a	pproved, corrected drawings are required in r	eply to this Office action.	
12)∏ The (oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xamıner.	
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)∏ Ack	nowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)∏ Al	b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of		
1.	Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received.	
2.	Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received in App	olication No
3 * See t	Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E ne attached detailed Office action for a lis	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-
14) Ackno	wledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).
	The translation of the foreign language powledgment is made of a claim for domes		
Attachment(s)			
2) Notice of D	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/975,292

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 07/18/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. By canceling claims 1-7 and amending claims 8-17 applicant de facto admitted that the previous set of structural limitations was anticipated by Twyford or was obvious from combinations of the structure by Twyford and other references. Examiner insists that method claims 18-22 are written based on the originally claimed structure, and, therefore, logically are rejectable by Twyford or combinations of the structure by Twyford and other references, as it was done with the originally claimed structure claims. In order to prove the opposite applicant should provide an analysis of the method steps, which are impossible to implement dealing with the originally claimed structure. If that happens to be true, the method claims should be restricted, as written to a different class and required a different search, and will be restricted in the process of the following prosecution.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 18 and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Twyford.

Application/Control Number: 09/975,292

Art Unit: 2835

Twyford teaches a system, Figs.1-11, for personalizing an electrical device, comprising: an electrical device (keyboard 20 for computer) having a plurality of buttons openings 136 that are adapted to receive removable buttons 24 (keyboard switches which are inherently provided with different icons) that can be interchangeably disposed within the buttons openings 136 of the electrical device 20, the buttons 24 being provided with a variety of discrete features, such as a plurality of contact members – (electrical encoding), and mounting means – (mechanical encoding), so as to be selectable by a user to personalized the device as desired by the user (see abstract and also col.2, lines 31-59) by initiating particular functionalities of said computer irrespective of the buttons locations. Twyford teaches furthermore said buttons 24 includes functionality sensing elements comprising pin openings 80 adapted to receive pins 76, 78 of the removable buttons 24. The method steps of the claims 18 and 20-22 are inherently necessitated by the device structure as Twyford shows it.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Twyford in view of Anten.

Twyford teaches all the limitations of the claim except the functionalities pertain to emitting particular sounds when the buttons are depressed. Anten teaches an electrical

Application/Control Number: 09/975,292

Art Unit: 2835

device 100, Figs.1-5, comprising a plurality of buttons 130 wherein functionalities pertain to emitting particular sounds when the buttons are depressed. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time invention was made to employ buttons wherein functionalities pertain to emitting particular sounds when the buttons are depressed as Anten shows it in the device by Twyford in order to facilitate recognition of the buttons. Regarding the claim 19: The method steps are obviously necessitated by the device structure as Twyford and Anten show it.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 8-17 are allowed.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Each button receiver member including a plurality of openings each adapted to receive a button pin and each including a sensing member that is configured to detect the presence of the button pin (claims 8-12); The button does not comprise an internal switch (claims 13-17).

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 2835

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Datskovsky whose telephone number is (703) 306-4535. The examiner can normally be reached on Mn - Fry 8 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren E. Schuberg can be reached on (703) 308-4815. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Patent Examiner

Michael Datskovsky

July 28, 2003

DARREN SCHUBERG
SUPERVISORY PATENT SYAMINER